

CGRF formed under the provision of IE Act 2003, sub clause 42(5) as well
Notification No.2/2019 of GERC (CGRF & Ombudsman)

BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM
MADHYA GUJARAT VIJ CO LIMITED
CORPORATE OFFICE, 5TH FLOOR SP VIDYUT BHAVAN,
RACECOURSE, VADODARA 390 007

Subject	Consumer Grievances Complaint No. MG-IV-33-2023-24
Complainant	M/s. Forum Formulation P Ltd., Plot No.A 81 / 10. GIDC Makarpura, Vadodara 390 010
Respondent	H M Prajapati, DE, GIDC Sub Division, MGVCCL
Date of hearing	27.03.2024 at MGVCCL Corporate Office, Vadodara

QUORUM	NAME
Chairperson	Shri S P Trivedi, Vadodara
Technical Member	Shri B J Desai, ACE (RA&C) MGVCCL Vadodara

The complaint dated 14.02.2024 regarding to cancel additional load estimate.

1.0 On 27.03.2024, the case of the Complainant Grievance was heard before Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum wherein Bhavesh Dave appeared on behalf of complainant M/s. Forum Formulation P Ltd. whereas H M Prajapati, DE, GIDC Sub Division, MGVCCL appeared as respondent on behalf of MGVCCL before the Forum. Both the parties were heard.

2.0 The brief history of the case is as under:

2.1 The complainant is having contracted load of 100 KW under LTMD Tariff located at Plot No.A 81 / 10. GIDC Makarpura, Vadodara, bearing consumer No. 14212/64175/5.

2.2 The complainant's meter MD had crossed five times the permissible limit during FY- 2022-23 as under,



Sr No	Month	Contract Demand(KW)	Actual Maxm. Demand(kw)	Remarks
1	MAY-22	100 KW	110.9	Excess 10.9%
2	JUN-22		113.0	Excess 13%
3	JUL-22		112.8	Excess 12.8%
4	AUG-22		111.9	Excess 11.9%
5	SEP-22		106.5	Excess 6.5%

2.3 As During FY 2022-23, the consumer had crossed their demand 5 times from the permissible limit 100 KW, GIDC Dub division had issued a notice

to the consumer vide letter dated 14.07.2022 & 01.10.22 & for regularizing contract demand

3.0 The representative of the complainant contended that

3.1 They are small industry manufacturing medicines, having consumer No. 14212/64175/5 located at Plot No.A 81 / 10. GIDC Makarpura, Vadodara 390 010

3.2 Since last three years they are running their industry and the premises is on rent.

3.3 The complainant had received additional load estimate amounting to Rs.11,09,255/- & they are unable to pay the estimate.

3.4 MGVCCL checking squad had checked their installation on 05.02.2022 & 17.12.2022 and at that time load was found as per contract demand.

3.5 They have removed additional equipment from the factory and after that their demand remained within 100 KW contract load. Since last six months their demand is within permissible limit.



3.6 The complainant is not in a need of additional load & request to cancel the additional load estimate.

3.7 The complainant has given assurance to Forum for not to use additional load hence forth and will follow the rules & regulations in force and will make the payment of difference of Minimum Charges for the period of 2 years.

4.0 The respondent contended that

4.1 The complainant is having contracted load of 100 KW under LTMD Tariff located at Plot No.A 81 / 10. GIDC Makarpura, Vadodara, bearing consumer No. 14212/64175/5.

4.2 The complainant's meter MD had cross five times the permissible limit during FY- 2022-23 as under,

Sr No	Month	Contract Demand(KW)	Actual Maxm. Demand(kw)	Remarks
1	MAY-22	100 KW	110.9	Excess 10.9%
2	JUN-22		113.0	Excess 13%
3	JUL-22		112.8	Excess 12.8%
4	AUG-22		111.9	Excess 11.9%
5	SEP-22		106.5	Excess 6.5%

4.3 As up to the month of Mar-23, the consumer had crossed 5 times the permissible limit of maximum demand, this office had issued a notice to the consumer vide letter dated 14.07.2022 & 01.10.22 for regularizing contract demand.

4.4 As the consumer has not turned in for any action at their end regarding enhancement of load, as per clause no 4.95 of supply code 2015, Lalbaug Division office had started the suomotu process.

4.5 Estimate of Rs.11, 09,255/- dated 11.1.2024 was issued for enhancement of load from 100KW to 125KVA.

5.0 The Forum Members present at the hearing considered contention of both the parties and perused the records and considering them in detail, findings are as under:

5.1 As per available record and submission by respondent & complainant, it was confirmed that the maximum demand was recorded in excess of contract demand by more than 5% for five times during financial year 2022-23, the respondent MGVCL had issued 30 days' notice to the complainant for submitting an application form for enhancement of load.



5.2 It was observed that due to non-response of the consumer by the end of notice period, the procedure for enhancing the contract demand from 100 KW LTMD to 125 KVA HT and estimate issued in accordance with clause No.4.95 of GERC Supply Code 2015 by the respondent is in order.

Clause No. 4.95 of supply code, 2015 - Review of Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load Contracted Demand In case of HT, EHT and Demand

Based LT connections, if the maximum demand was recorded to be in excess of contract demand by 5% or more for at least four times during last financial year, the licensee shall issue a 30-day notice to the consumer for submitting an application form for enhancement of load. If there is no response from the consumer by the end of the notice period, the licensee shall start the procedure for enhancing the consumer's contract demand to the average of four recordings of maximum demand shown by the consumer's MDI meter in the last financial year. In such case, the consumer shall be liable to pay all applicable charges as per provisions of this Code for regularization of the enhanced demand. The enhanced demand will be considered as revised contract demand on receipt of such charges and all provisions of agreement shall be applicable to such consumers for revised contract demand.

In case of non-Demand Based LT connections, review of Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load shall be carried out once in a financial year and if it is found that connected load on such type of connection is 25% or more than the Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load in case of Residential Consumers and 10% or more than the Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load in case of other categories of consumers, the licensee shall issue a 60-day notice to the consumer for submitting an application form for enhancement of load. If there is no response from the consumer by the end of the notice period, the licensee shall start the procedure for enhancing the consumer's Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load to the load found at the time of inspection. In such case, the consumer shall be liable to pay all applicable charges as per provisions of this Code for regularization of the enhanced load. The enhanced load will be considered as revised Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load on receipt of such charges and all provisions of agreement shall be applicable to such consumers for revised Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load.

5.3 The Forum considered the contentions of the complainant and the contentions of Respondent and the facts, statistics, and relevant papers, which are on records, and considering them in detail, the findings are as under.



- a. The complainant prayed that they want to continue consumption of electricity as per the contract demand of 100 KW under the LT connection and they do not require HT tariff connection as per the contract demand of 125 KVA as proposed by the Respondent.
- b. During the hearing, as per Para No. 3.7, the complainant stated that they agreed to pay the demand charge for a period of 2 years, subject to the difference between the contracted load of 100 KW and the demand of 125 KVA as per the Suomotu estimate issued by the Respondent under the HT Tariff.

- c. The action initiated by the Respondent to serve notices to the complainant to regularize the contract demand is also seen and by that way chance for the regularization of the contract demand was provided to the complainant. Excess demand records were intimated to the Complainant to control its contract demand or to avail the additional demand by the Respondent.
- d. In such type of cases, when the complainant had consented to the payment of two year minimum charges against the Differences of the contracted demand with the contract demand worked out under Suomotu proceeding by the Respondent, it is to look into the order passed by the ombudsman in a similar type of cases. Previously, the ombudsman had observed the merits in -

- (1) Case No. 46/2021 - Darshan A Shah, order dated. 13.12.2021
- (2) Case No. 01/2022 - Dura Plast, order dated.16.06.2022
- (3) Case No. 48/2022 - Arvindhbai B Patel, order dated 18.02.2023 and
- (4) Case No. 20/2022 - Shri Gobind Nutritions Pvt Ltd, order dated 14.03.2023

and passed order in the respective cases. This case is having similar nature and the aforesaid direction is needed to apply here for the delivery of natural justice.

ORDER

6.0 The Forum Members present during the hearing considered contentions of both the parties and has come to the conclusion that -

6.1 The procedure for enhancing the contract demand from 100 KW LTMD to 125 KVA HT and estimate issued by respondent MGVCL in accordance with clause No.4.95 of GERC Supply Code 2015 is in order in respect of complainant M/s. Forum Formulation P Ltd., Plot No.A 81 / 10. GIDC Makarpura, Vadodara 390 010.



6.2 In view of the complainant's request, the Respondent shall collect the minimum charges of two years for the difference between the contracted load of 100 KW and the demand of 125 KVA and continue power supply

under the 100 KW LT Connection of the complainant. If the complainant draws demand exceed more than 5% of the contracted demand in the aforesaid LT connection, the contract demand shall be covered under clause no. 4.95 of the GERC's Electricity Supply code and related matters Regulation-2015 and regularize the contract demand of the complainant by taking the specific action immediately as per the aforesaid Provisions.

6.3 Suomotu estimate issued by respondent MGVCCL for enhancement of contract demand from 100 KW to 125 KVA HT shall be considered as deemed cancelled after receipt of payment of Minimum Charges for two years.



(B J Desai)
Technical Member



(S P Trivedi)
Chairperson



PS :

*If the complainant is not satisfied with the order of this Forum, the complainant may approach Ombudsman only after payment of minimum 33% of the bill amount issued to the party in question. **The Office address of the Ombudsman is : The Staff Officer, Office of the Electricity Ombudsman, Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission, Barrack No.3, Polytechnic Compound, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380 015 in accordance with Clause No.3.19 (viii) of GERC Notification No.2 of 2019.***

Procedure to make representations before Ombudsman***

1. Representation should be in writing duly signed by the complainant with his name and address.
2. Representation should be supported with affidavit.
3. True copy of order of Forum and original complaint made before Forum should be attached. Enclosed documents should be certified copies of the original documents.
4. The representation before Ombudsman should be submitted within 30 days from the date of order of Forum.
5. Copy of representation should be submitted at the office of Ombudsman. Copy of representation should be submitted to all respondents.
6. If the complainant is required to pay any amount according to the order of Forum, one-third of such amount should be deposited by complainant and proofs should be attached along with the representation.
7. The matter should not be pending before the competent Forum or any other court, tribunal, arbitrator or any other authority.
8. All representations should be with a sufficient cause, reasonable diligence causing the consumer prima facie loss and damages / inconvenience.
9. Representation may be submitted in English or Gujarati language